

Introduction to Control (00340040)


lecture no. 11

Leonid Mirkin

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Technion—IIT



Typical operations with $L(j\omega)$



Typical course of action:

- set required crossover, ω_c
- shape high-frequency gain
- shape phase around ω_c
- shape low-frequency gain


by cascade adjustments of $C(s)$.

The goal of today's lecture is to

— give a flavor of basic tools of loop shaping

for frequency responses with monotonically decreasing magnitude and phase.

Typical operations with $L(j\omega)$



Typical course of action:

- set required crossover, ω_c
- shape high-frequency gain
- shape phase around ω_c
- shape low-frequency gain


by cascade adjustments of $C(s)$.

The goal of today's lecture is to

- give a flavor of basic tools of loop shaping

for frequency responses with **monotonically decreasing** magnitude and phase.

Typical operations with $L(j\omega)$



Typical course of action:

- set required crossover, ω_c
(means: **proportional** controller)
- shape high-frequency gain
(means: **low-pass filter**)
- shape phase around ω_c
(means: **lead** controller)
- shape low-frequency gain
(means: **lag** controller)

by cascade adjustments of $C(s)$.

The goal of today's lecture is to

- give a flavor of basic tools of loop shaping
- for frequency responses with **monotonically decreasing** magnitude and phase.

Outline

Assigning required crossover

Phase shaping around crossover: lead controller

Shaping low-frequency gain: lag controller

Design examples

Outline


Assigning required crossover

Phase shaping around crossover: lead controller

Shaping low-frequency gain: lag controller

Design examples

Gain effects




If $|L(j\omega)|$ is monotonically decreasing and continuous,

- increase / decrease of the loop gain $\implies$ increase / decrease of ω_c

In such cases

$$\omega_c = \omega_s \implies C(s) = k_p = \frac{1}{|P(j\omega_s)|}$$

Gain effects



If $|L(j\omega)|$ is monotonically decreasing and continuous,

— increase / decrease of the loop gain $\implies$ increase / decrease of ω_c

In such cases

$$\omega_c = \omega_* \implies C(s) = k_p = \frac{1}{|P(j\omega_*)|}.$$

Outline

Assigning required crossover


Phase shaping around crossover: lead controller

Shaping low-frequency gain: lag controller

Design examples

Motivating example

Consider control of $P(s) = 1/s^2$. Its polar plot




shows clearly that this plant cannot be stabilized by a P controller. Some

phase lead

must be added in the crossover region.

Motivating example

Consider control of $P(s) = 1/s^2$. Its polar plot



shows clearly that this plant cannot be stabilized by a P controller. Some


- phase lead

must be added in the crossover region.

Motivating example (contd)

Consider 1-order controllers of the form $C_1(s) = \frac{s+b}{s+a}$. For $a = 1$ we have:

Bode diagrams of $\frac{s+b}{s+1}$, $b = \{0.05, 0.25, 4, 20\}$




Any $C_1(s)$ with $a \gg b$ adds a phase lead $\forall \omega$ and thus stabilizes the double integrator. Such a C_1 , however, would alter ϕ_0 , which might be undesirable.

Motivating example (contd)

Consider 1-order controllers of the form $C_1(s) = \frac{s+b}{s+a}$. For $a = 1$ we have:

Bode diagrams of $\frac{s+b}{s+1}$, $b = \{0.05, 0.25, 4, 20\}$




Any $C_1(s)$ with $a > b$ adds a phase lead $\forall \omega$ and thus stabilizes the double integrator. Such a C_1 , however, would not do so, which might be undesirable.

Motivating example (contd)

Consider 1-order controllers of the form $C_1(s) = \frac{s+b}{s+a}$. For $a = 1$ we have:


Bode diagrams of $\frac{s+b}{s+1}$, $b = \{0.05, 0.25, 4, 20\}$



Any $C_1(s)$ with $a > b$ adds a phase lead $\forall \omega$ and thus stabilizes the double integrator. Such a C_1 , however, would alter ω_c , which might be undesirable.


Lead controller

General form: $C_{\text{lead}}(s) = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} s + \omega_m}{s + \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_m} = \sqrt{\alpha} \frac{s + \omega_m/\sqrt{\alpha}}{s + \alpha \omega_m/\sqrt{\alpha}}$, where $\alpha > 1$ is a tuning parameter.



Lead controller for different α 's

Bode diagrams of $C_{\text{lead}}(s)$ for $\omega_m = 1$ and $\alpha = \{2, 4, 10, 20\}$



C_{lead} : properties

Pros: if we choose $\omega_m = \omega_c$, the use of $C_{\text{lead}}(s)$

- does not alter ω_c
- increases μ_{ph}


Cons:

- decreases the low-frequency gain
- increases the high-frequency gain
- decreases μ_g
(because, typically, $\omega_c < \omega_\phi$ and $|C_{\text{lead}}(j\omega)| > 1$ for $\omega > \omega_m$)


Motivating example (contd)

Assume that the required crossover frequency is $\omega_c = 1$ rad/sec. Applying $C_{\text{lead}}(s)$ for $\omega_m = 1$ and $\alpha = \{2, 4, 10, 20\}$ to $P(s) = 1/s^2$ we get:

Bode diagrams of $P(s)C_{\text{lead}}(s)$




Nyquist diagrams of $P(s)C_{\text{lead}}(s)$




Motivating example (contd)

Closed-loop command responses with C_{lead} for $\omega_m = 1$ and $\alpha = \{2, 4, 10, 20\}$



Phase lead of lead controllers

Maximal phase lead, $\phi_m = \arcsin \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1}$, is achieved at $\omega = \omega_m$:




Practically, as the slope of the curve above for large α is low, the values $\alpha \geq 14$ are undesirable.

For larger α we do not gain much (in terms of the phase lead), while pay a steep price (in terms of low- and high-frequency controller gains).

Phase lead of lead controllers

Maximal phase lead, $\phi_m = \arcsin \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1}$, is achieved at $\omega = \omega_m$:




Practically, as the slope of the curve above for large α is low, the values

- $\alpha \geq 14$ are undesirable.

For larger α we do not gain much (in terms of the phase lead), while pay a steep price (in terms of low- and high-frequency controller gains).

More phase lead

If $\phi_m > 60^\circ$ is required, use 2 (seldom, even more) lead controllers:



Outline

Assigning required crossover


Phase shaping around crossover: lead controller

Shaping low-frequency gain: lag controller

Design examples

Motivating example (contd)

Closed-loop disturbance responses with C_{lead} for $\omega_m = 1$ and $\alpha = \{2, 4, 10, 20\}$



Motivating example (contd)

To explain this, note that

$$T_d(s) = \frac{P(s)}{1 + P(s)C_{\text{lead}}(s)} = \frac{1}{1/P(s) + C_{\text{lead}}(s)} = \frac{1}{s^2 + C_{\text{lead}}(s)},$$

so that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y_d(t) = T_d(0) = \frac{1}{C_{\text{lead}}(0)} = \sqrt{\alpha}.$$

Therefore, with the use of C_{lead}

- the vulnerability to low-frequency disturbances increases as α grows.

Motivating example (contd)

Possible remedy is to

- increase the static gain of C_{lead} ,

by the controller $C(s) = kC_{\text{lead}}(s)$ for some $k > 1$. Yet this would

- increase high-frequency gain as well;
- increase the system bandwidth beyond required.

We would like to

- increase low-frequency gain without altering gain / phase at $\omega \approx \omega_c$.

Motivating example (contd)

Possible remedy is to

- increase the static gain of C_{lead} ,

by the controller $C(s) = kC_{\text{lead}}(s)$ for some $k > 1$. Yet this would


- increase high-frequency gain as well;
- increase the system bandwidth beyond required.

We would like to

- increase low-frequency gain without altering gain / phase at $\omega \approx \omega_c$.


Lag controller

General form: $C_{\text{lag}}(s) = \frac{10s + \omega_m}{10s + \omega_m/\beta} = \frac{s + 0.1\omega_m}{s + 0.1\omega_m/\beta}$, where $\beta > 1$ is a tuning parameter.



Lag controller for different β 's

Bode diagrams of $C_{\text{lag}}(s)$ for $\omega_m = 1$ and $\beta = \{2, 4, 10, 30, \infty\}$




Motivating example (contd)

If the zero steady-state error under $d = 1$ is required, then we shall choose $\beta = \infty$. Let's also choose $\omega_m = 1$ for C_{lag} and consider


$$C(s) = C_{\text{lead}}(s)C_{\text{lag}}(s).$$

We have (again, in C_{lead} $\alpha = \{2, 4, 10, 20\}$):

Bode diagrams of $P(s)C_{\text{lead}}(s)C_{\text{lag}}(s)$




Nyquist diagrams of $P(s)C_{\text{lead}}(s)C_{\text{lag}}(s)$



Motivating example (contd)

Closed-loop disturbance responses with $C_{\text{lead}}C_{\text{lag}}$ for $\omega_m = 1$, $\alpha = \{2, 4, 10, 20\}$, and $\beta = \infty$



C_{lag} : properties

Pros: if we choose $\omega_m = \omega_c$, the use of $C_{\text{lag}}(s)$

- increases the low-frequency gain of $L(j\omega)$
- adds at most 5.7° phase lag at ω_c
(this may be compensated by a bit larger phase lead of $C_{\text{lead}}(s)$)

Cons:

- adds phase lag
- gain increase within a decade of ω_c is modest (at most by $\sqrt{2} \approx 1.414$)

Outline

Assigning required crossover


Phase shaping around crossover: lead controller

Shaping low-frequency gain: lag controller

Design examples

System

A DC motor controlled in closed loop:




Requirements:

- closed-loop stability (of course)
- zero steady-state error for a step in r
- zero steady-state error for a step in d
- $\mu_{\text{ph}} \geq 45^\circ$
- ω_c is treated as a tuning parameter

Remark: We implicitly assume that the plant is normalized, in a sense that the control amplitude $|u(t)| < 1$ is “small” and $|u(t)| > 1$ is “large”.

System

A DC motor controlled in closed loop:



Requirements:


- closed-loop stability (of course)
- zero steady-state error for a step in r
- zero steady-state error for a step in d
- $\mu_{\text{ph}} \geq 45^\circ$
- ω_c is treated as a tuning parameter

always holds

Remark: We implicitly assume that the plant is normalized, in a sense that the control amplitude $|u(t)| < 1$ is “small” and $|u(t)| > 1$ is “large”.

System

A DC motor controlled in closed loop:




Requirements:

- closed-loop stability (of course)
- zero steady-state error for a step in r always holds
- zero steady-state error for a step in d integrator in $C(s)$
- $\mu_{\text{ph}} \geq 45^\circ$
- ω_c is treated as a tuning parameter

Remark: We implicitly assume that the plant is normalized, in a sense that the control amplitude $|u(t)| < 1$ is “small” and $|u(t)| > 1$ is “large”.

System

A DC motor controlled in closed loop:





Requirements:

- closed-loop stability (of course)
- zero steady-state error for a step in r always holds
- zero steady-state error for a step in d integrator in $C(s)$
- $\mu_{\text{ph}} \geq 45^\circ$ *might* require phase lead
- ω_c is treated as a tuning parameter

Remark: We implicitly assume that the plant is normalized, in a sense that the control amplitude $|u(t)| < 1$ is “small” and $|u(t)| > 1$ is “large”.

Example 1: the plant



Let $\omega_c = 0.05$:



This crossover can be attained by the gain $k \approx 0.1$.

Example 1: adjusting crossover

We get:





Here $\mu_{ph} \approx 90^\circ$ and

— we don't need a lead,
even after the lag controller will add its 5.7° .

Example 1: adjusting low-frequency gain

Use the lag controller with $\omega_m = 0.05$ and $\beta = \infty$:




It yields $\mu_{\text{ph}} \approx 83^\circ$, which is more than enough. Resulting controller:


$$C(s) = k C_{\text{lag}}(s) = \frac{0.10003(s + 0.005)}{s}.$$

Example 1: closed-loop command response

Bode magnitude plots of $P(j\omega)$ and $T(j\omega)$



Step response of $T(s)$




To note:


- resonance peak is very small $\implies$ small OS
- closed-loop bandwidth $\omega_b \approx 0.0562$, which is a bit above the designed $\omega_c = 0.05$ and smaller than the open-loop bandwidth

Example 1: closed-loop disturbance response

Boode magnitude plots of $P(j\omega)$ and $T_d(j\omega)$



Step response of $T_d(s)$




To note:


- not enough controller gain $\implies$ high disturbance sensitivity

Example 1: closed-loop control signal

Bode magnitude plot of $T_c(j\omega)$



Step response of $T_c(s)$





To note:

- closed-loop bandwidth $\ll$ open-loop bandwidth $\implies$ low control effort

Example 2: the plant



Let $\omega_c = 0.5$ now:



This is about the actual crossover, so can be attained by the gain $k \approx 1.04$.

Example 2: adjusting crossover

We get:





Here $\mu_{ph} \approx 76^\circ$ and

— we still don't need a lead,
even after the lag controller will add its 5.7° .

Example 2: adjusting low-frequency gain



Use the lag controller with $\omega_m = 0.5$ and $\beta = \infty$:



It yields $\mu_{\text{ph}} \approx 70^\circ$, which is also more than enough. Resulting controller:

$$C(s) = k C_{\text{lag}}(s) = \frac{1.0308(s + 0.05)}{s}.$$



Example 2: closed-loop command response



To note:

- resonance peak is very small $\implies$ small OS
- closed-loop bandwidth $\omega_b \approx 0.7161$, which is a bit above the designed $\omega_c = 0.5$ and about the same as the open-loop bandwidth

Example 2: closed-loop disturbance response




To note:


- unit controller gain $\implies$ neutral disturbance sensitivity

Example 2: closed-loop control signal

Bode magnitude plot of $T_c(j\omega)$



Step response of $T_c(s)$





To note:

- closed-loop bandwidth $\approx$ open-loop bandwidth $\implies$ moderate control effort

Example 3: the plant



Let $\omega_c = 5$ now:



This is below the actual crossover, so can be attained by the gain $k \approx 26.9$.

Example 3: adjusting crossover

We get:





Here $\mu_{ph} \approx 22^\circ$ and

— we do need a phase lead of $45^\circ - 22^\circ + 5.7^\circ = 28.7^\circ$,
for which one lead is enough.

Example 3: adjusting phase around crossover



We get:



Here $\mu_{\text{ph}} \approx 50.7^\circ$, which is exactly what we need before the lag controller adds its 5.7° .

Example 3: adjusting low-frequency gain



Use the lag controller with $\omega_m = 5$ and $\beta = \infty$:



It yields $\mu_{\text{ph}} \approx 45^\circ$, which is exactly what we need. Resulting controller:

$$C(s) = kC_{\text{lead}}(s)C_{\text{lag}}(s) = \frac{45.619(s + 2.951)(s + 0.5)}{s(s + 8.471)}.$$



Example 3: closed-loop command response



To note:

- resonance peak becomes larger $\implies$ larger OS
- closed-loop bandwidth $\omega_b \approx 8.3176$, which is a bit above the designed $\omega_c = 5$ and higher than the open-loop bandwidth

Example 3: closed-loop disturbance response




To note:


- high controller gain $\implies$ low disturbance sensitivity

Example 3: closed-loop control signal

Boode magnitude plot of $T_c(j\omega)$



Step response of $T_c(s)$



To note:

- closed-loop bandwidth $\gg$ open-loop bandwidth $\implies$ high control effort