¹ -- - -- - - -

TECHNION— Israel Institute of Technology, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

INTRODUCTION TO CONTROL (034040) tutorial 8

Fig. 1: Unity-feedback control system

Question 1. Consider the unity-feedback system in Fig. 1. Are the requirements below contradictory?

1.
$$
\begin{cases} |E_r(j\omega)| < 0.1 | R(j\omega)| & \text{for all } \omega < 10 \\ |E_n(j\omega)| < 0.1 | N(j\omega)| & \text{for all } \omega > 1 \end{cases}
$$
\n2.
$$
\begin{cases} |E_r(j\omega)| < 0.1 | R(j\omega)| & \text{for all } \omega < 1 \\ |E_n(j\omega)| < 0.1 | N(j\omega)| & \text{for all } \omega > 10 \end{cases}
$$

where e_r is the effect of the reference signal r on the tracking error $e := r - y$, e_n is the effect of the measurement noise *n* on it, and $X(j\omega)$ stands for the value of the spectrum of a signal x at a frequancy ω .

Solution. We know that

$$
E_r(s) = S(s)R(s) = \frac{1}{1 + P(s)C(s)} R(s) \text{ and } E_n(s) = T(s)N(s) = \frac{P(s)C(s)}{1 + P(s)C(s)} N(s).
$$

Hence,

$$
|E_r(j\omega)| < \alpha |R(j\omega)| \iff |S(j\omega)| < \alpha
$$

and

$$
|E_n(j\omega)| < \alpha |N(j\omega)| \iff |T(j\omega)| < \alpha
$$

at every given ω . Now, because $S(s) + T(s) \equiv 1$, by the triangle inequality we have that

$$
|S(j\omega)| + |T(j\omega)| \ge 1, \quad \forall \omega.
$$

Thus,

- 1. there is a contradiction, because it requires $|S(i\omega)| + |T(i\omega)| < 0.2 < 1$ for all $\omega \in (1, 10)$;
- 2. there is no contradiction, because constraints on S and T are imposed over non-overlapping frequency ranges.

That's all \ldots \triangledown

Fig. 2: Polar plots of $L_i(i\omega)$ for Question 2

Question 2. Fig. 2 depicts the polar plots of four loop frequency responses $L_i(i\omega) = P_i(i\omega)C_i(i\omega)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$. These systems are controlled in the standard unity-feedback configuration in Fig. 1.

- 1. Fig. 3 depicts the Bode plots of the closed-loop complementary sensitivity functions T_k , $k = 1, ..., 4$. Relate between k and i .
- 2. Fig. 4 depicts the step responses of the closed-loop sensitivity functions $S_l(s)$, $l = 1, \ldots, 4$. Relate between l and i.

Solution. This question is based on the following relations between open-loop and closed-loop frequency and time responses:

- The open-loop crossover frequency ω_c is related to the closed-loop bandwidth ω_b (of T). Namely, the larger ω_c is, the wider ω_b is.
- \bullet The bandwidth of T is related to the speed of transients of its step response and, then to speed of the step response of $S = 1 - T$. Namely, the wider ω_b is, the faster the step response of S, i.e. e, decays.
- The proximity of the frequency response of L to the critical point $-1 + 0$ is related to the height of resonant peaks of $|T(j\omega)|$. Namely, the closer $L(j\omega)$ to the critical point is, the higher resonant peaks of $|T(i\omega)|$ are.
- Resonant peaks of $|T(i\omega)|$ are related to oscillations / overshoot of its step response. Namely, the sharper resonant peaks of $|T(j\omega)|$ are, the shakier the step response of T, and hence of S, is.
- \bullet The loop static gain $L(0)$ is related to the closer-loop steady-state errors to a step reference. Namely, the larger $|L(0)|$ is, the smaller $e_{ss} = |S(0)|$ for the unit step is.

Because both L_3 and L_4 have at least one integrator $(|L(0)| \to \infty)$, the corresponding closed-loop static gains $T_k(0) = 1$ (true for $k = 1$ and $k = 4$) and steady-state error $e_{ss} = 0$ (true for $l = 2$ and $l = 4$). To select between them, note that L_3 is much closer to the critical point than L_4 . Hence, the corresponding complementary sensitivity should have a smaller resonance peak and the corresponding sensitivity function should have a less oscillatory step response. Hence, we end up with

$$
L_3 \leftrightarrow T_4 \leftrightarrow S_2
$$
 and $L_4 \leftrightarrow T_1 \leftrightarrow S_4$.

Now we need to differentiate between the closed-loop responses corresponding to L_1 and L_2 . Both systems have $L_i(0) = 1$ (so the same steady state errors) and similar proximity to the critical point (so

Fig. 4: Step responses of S_l for Question 2

compatible oscillations). At the same time, the crossover frequency of $L_2(j\omega)$ is an order of magnitude larger than that of $L_1(j\omega)$. Hence, we expect from the closed-loop systems corresponding to L_2 to have a wider bandwidth and a faster time response. This yields

$$
L_1 \leftrightarrow T_3 \leftrightarrow S_3
$$
 and $L_2 \leftrightarrow T_2 \leftrightarrow S_1$.

That's all \ldots \triangledown

Fig. 5: System for Question 3

Question 3. Consider yet again the problem of cruise control for a vehicle, like that depicted in Fig. 5. The problem here is to maintain the vehicle velocity v at prespecified levels by changing the driving force f generated by the engine. As we already know (Tutorials 3 and 5), the linearized (around some given velocity v_{eq}) model of this system is

$$
y = \frac{1}{ms + \alpha v_{\text{eq}}} u
$$

where m is the mass of the vehicle, α is a constant depending on the density of air, the frontal area of the car, and a shape-dependent aerodynamic drag coefficient, and the deviation variables $y := v - v_{eq}$ and $u := f - 0.5\alpha v_{eq}^2 - mg(\sin\theta + C_r \cos\theta)$, where C_r is the (dimensionless) rolling resistance coefficient and θ is the actual road slope. In all numerical calculations of what follows we assume the following numerical values:

˛ C^r g m⁰ ⁰ veq 1 [kg/m] 0:01 9:8 [m/sec²] ¹⁰⁰⁰ [kg] ¹²^ı 0:20944 [rad] ⁸⁰ [km/h] 22:2222 [m/sec]

Assume that the system is controlled by in the unity-feedback scheme, like that in Fig. 1 by a proportional controller $C(s) = k_p > 0$

- 1. Normalize the control signal and the output such that the plant has the unit static gain.
- 2. Find the bandwidth of the normalized plant and of the closed-loop complementary sensitivity function T (as function of k_p).
- 3. Find the control effort at $t = 0$. Express the closed-loop bandwidth $\omega_{b,T}$ as a function of $\bar{u}(t \to 0)$ and the bandwidth of the normalized plant.
- 4. Draw the Bode plots of $T(j\omega)$, $P(j\omega)$, and $T_c(j\omega)$ for $k_p \in \{500, 2000, 6000\}$. Explain the results of section 2 based on the resulting plots.

Solution.

1. A natural choice is to normalize y by its equilibrium value, v_{eq} , in which case the choice of the normalization factor of u is unambiguous,

$$
\bar{y} := \frac{1}{v_{\text{eq}}} y \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{u} := \frac{1}{\alpha v_{\text{eq}}^2} u.
$$

The normalized plant $\bar{u} \mapsto \bar{y}$ is then

$$
\bar{P}(s) = \frac{1}{v_{\text{eq}}} \cdot P(s) \cdot \alpha v_{\text{eq}}^2 = \frac{\alpha v_{\text{eq}}}{m s + \alpha v_{\text{eq}}}.
$$

Its static gain $\bar{P}(0) = 1$, indeed. This shall facilitate a fair comparison between the bandwidths of the plant and the closed-loop system T . Because we normalized y and u , we must also normalize r

and thus $C(s)$. The control signal has the same units as the output so we will normalize by the same quantity:

$$
\bar{r} := \frac{1}{v_{\text{eq}}} r \implies \bar{C}(s) = \frac{1}{\alpha v_{\text{eq}}^2} \cdot C(s) \cdot v_{\text{eq}} = \frac{k_{\text{p}}}{\alpha v_{\text{eq}}}.
$$

2. The bandwidth of a first-order system having no zeros is the inverse of its time constant, i.e.

$$
\omega_{\mathrm{b},P} = \frac{1}{m/(\alpha v_{\mathrm{eq}})} = \frac{\alpha v_{\mathrm{eq}}}{m}.
$$

The complementary sensitivity function $\bar{r} \mapsto \bar{y}$ is

$$
T(s) = \overline{T}(s) = \frac{k_{\rm p}}{ms + \alpha v_{\rm eq} + k_{\rm p}}.
$$

This is still a first-order system, but its static gain $T(0) \neq 1$. Hence, the bandwidth of the frequency response of T is smaller than the reciprocal of its time constant. To find the bandwidth, note that

$$
|\bar{T}(j\omega)|^2 = \frac{k_p^2}{k_p^2 + 2k_p \alpha v_{\text{eq}} + \alpha^2 v_{\text{eq}}^2 + m^2 \omega^2}
$$

:

This is a monotonically decreasing function of ω , so the bandwidth is the positive solution to the equation $|\bar{T}(\mathbf{j}\omega)|^2 = 1/2$. Two situations are possible. It might happen that $|\bar{T}(0)|^2 \le 1/2$, for which the bandwidth is obviously zero. This happens if $k_p \leq (1 + \sqrt{2})\alpha v_{eq} \approx 53.649$. For larger gains $|\bar{T}(0)|^2 > 1/2$ and the bandwidth is always nonzero. It can be verified that

$$
\omega_{\text{b},T} = \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{k_{\text{p}}^2 - 2k_{\text{p}} \alpha v_{\text{eq}} - \alpha^2 v_{\text{eq}}^2}
$$

in this case. Evidently, the increase of $k_p > 0$ widens the controlled bandwidth. Moreover, it the closed-loop bandwidth exceeds that of the open-loop plant under $k_p > (1 + \sqrt{3})\alpha v_{\text{eq}} \approx 60.712$.

3. The control sensitivity transfer function $\bar{r} \mapsto \bar{u}$ is

$$
\bar{T}_{\rm c}(s) = \frac{k_{\rm p}/(\alpha v_{\rm eq}) (m s + \alpha v_{\rm eq})}{m s + \alpha v_{\rm eq} + k_{\rm p}}
$$

By the Initial Value Theorem, the control effort at the initial time is

$$
\bar{u}(0) = \lim_{s \to \infty} sT_{\rm c}(s) \frac{1}{s} = \frac{k_{\rm p}}{\alpha v_{\rm eq}}.
$$

Therefore, the closed loop bandwidth (we assume hereafter that $\bar{u}(0) = k_p/(\alpha v_{eq}) > 1 + \sqrt{2}$, so that the closed-loop bandwidth is nonzero) is

$$
\omega_{b,T} = \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{k_p^2 - 2k_p \alpha v_{eq} - \alpha^2 v_{eq}^2} = \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\alpha^2 v_{eq}^2 [\bar{u}(0)]^2 - 2\alpha^2 v_{eq}^2 \bar{u}(0) - \alpha^2 v_{eq}^2}
$$

= $\omega_{b,P} \sqrt{[\bar{u}(0)]^2 - 2\bar{u}(0) - 1} = \omega_{b,P} \sqrt{(\bar{u}(0) - 1)^2 - 2},$

whence

$$
\bar{u}(0) = 1 + \sqrt{2 + \left(\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{b},T}}{\omega_{\mathrm{b},P}}\right)^2}.
$$

Thus, the increase of the closed-loop bandwidth with respect to that of the plant itself gives rise to an increase of the initial control amplitude, at $t = 0$. This is intuitive, if we want to get a wider bandwidth for the closed-loop system, we should pay with a higher control effort.

Fig. 6: Bode plots for Question 3

4. The results are presented in Fig. 6. Expectably, the further apart $\omega_{b,P}$ and $\omega_{b,T}$ are, the higher the magnitude of $|T_c(j\omega)|$ at high frequencies is. Not only does this give higher control effort at time $t = 0$, it also creates a higher sensitivity to noise, which is typically concentrated in high frequencies.

That's all ... \triangledown