**TECHNION— Israel Institute of Technology, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

## INTRODUCTION TO CONTROL (034040) tutorial 6



Fig. 1: Unity feedback closed-loop system

**Question 1.** Consider the unity feedback system in Fig. 1. Sketch (qualitatively) the root-loci of the following systems with respect to the gain k. Is it possible to stabilize the system with  $k > 0$ ?

1.  $P(s) = \frac{s+1}{(s-1)(s-2)(s+5)}$  and  $C(s) = k$ 2.  $P(s) = \frac{(s-1)(s+1)}{(s-3)(s-5)}$  and  $C(s) = k$ 3.  $P(s) = \frac{1}{s}$  $\frac{1}{(s-1)^3}$  and  $C(s) = k \frac{s-3}{s-7}$  $s - 7$ 4.  $P(s) = \frac{1}{s}$  $rac{1}{s^2}$  and  $C(s) = \frac{s+k}{s+2}$  $s + 2$ 

**Question 2.** Consider the system  $P(s) = (s - 1)/(s - 2)$  controlled in closed loop with unity feedback.

- 1. Can the system be stabilized by a proportional controller of the form  $C(s) = k_p$  for some  $k_p > 0$ ?
- 2. Can the system be stabilized by a *stable* controller, i.e.  $C(s) = k_p N_C(s)/D_C(s)$  for some Hurwitz  $D_C(s)$  ?
- 3. Discuss the requirements for a controller of the form  $C(s) = k_p \tilde{C}(s)$  for stabilizing the system. Considering the controller  $C(s) = k_p/(s - a)$ , for some  $k_p > 0$ , find the requirements on a
	- (a) by analyzing roots of the closed-loop characteristic polynomial,
	- (b) by using root-locus principles.
- 4. Find the range of  $k_p$  for which the closed-loop system is stable for the controller in the previous item.

**Question 3** (self-study). Consider an inverted pendulum which consists of a point mass  $m$  on a mass-less rod of length l installed on a cart of mass M. An external force  $u(t)$  is acting on the cart. The controlled output is assumed to be the acceleration of the cart,  $y(t) = \ddot{z}(t)$ . We know from Tutorial 6 that the transfer function of this system, linearized around the pendulum "up" position is (here  $g$  is the standard gravity)

$$
P(s) = \frac{ls^2 - g}{Mls^2 - (M + m)g} = \frac{0.1(s - 3.13)(s + 3.13)}{(s - 3.834)(s + 3.834)}, P(s) = \frac{ls^2 - g}{Mls^2 - (M + m)g} = \frac{1}{M} \frac{s^2 - \gamma^2}{s^2 - \mu^2 \gamma^2},
$$
  
where

where

$$
\gamma := \sqrt{\frac{g}{l}} > 0
$$
 and  $\mu := \sqrt{1 + \frac{m}{M}} > 1$ .

Consider the control of this system by the unity-feedback system like that in Fig. 1.





Fig. 2: Inverted pendulum on a cart for Question 3

- 1. Is it possible to stabilize this system by a proportional controller, i.e. by  $C(s) = k_p$  for some  $k_p > 0$ ? Explain via root-locus arguments.
- 2. Is it possible to stabilize this system by a *stable* controller having the positive high-frequency gain, i.e. such that

$$
C(s) = \frac{k_p N_C(s)}{D_C(s)} = \frac{k_p (s^m + b_{m-1} s^{m-1} \cdots + b_1 s + b_0)}{s^n + a_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 s + a_0}
$$
(1)

for  $m \le n$ ,  $k_p > 0$ , and Hurwitz  $D_C(s)$ ? Explain via root-locus arguments. What property this controller lacks to stabilize the system?

3. Consider now

$$
C(s) = \frac{k_p(s + \alpha - \mu\gamma)(s + \mu\gamma)}{(s - \alpha - \gamma)(s + \gamma)}
$$
\n(2)

for  $k_p > 0$  and  $\alpha > \mu \gamma$  (it cancels *stable* pole and zero of  $P(s)$  and has a LHP zero at  $s = -\alpha + \mu \gamma$ and a RHP pole at  $s = \alpha + \gamma$ ). Under what conditions on  $k_p$  and  $\alpha$  this controller stabilizes the plant? Explain via analyzing roots of the closed-loop characteristic polynomial.

- 4. Do the same, but using root-locus arguments. Find then  $k_p$  and  $\alpha$  such that the closed-loop system has all its poles at  $s = -\gamma$ .
- 5. Simulate the closed-loop system under the the pulse input disturbance  $d(t) = 1(t 0.5) 1(t 2.5)$ with  $m = 5$  [kg],  $M = 10$  [kg],  $l = 1$  [m], and  $g = 9.8$  [m/sec<sup>2</sup>] for the parameters  $k_p$  and  $\alpha$  chosen in the previous item.
- 6. Simulate the closed-loop system in the case when the standard gravity in the plant model is actually is  $g = 9.80665$  [m/sec<sup>2</sup>] (affecting  $\gamma$ ), whereas the controller is still designed assuming  $g =$ 9.8 [m/sec<sup>2</sup>] (i.e. pole-zero cancellations between the plant and the controller are not exact).