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## Outline

Some standard systems

## Reminder: systems

- Systems are constraints imposed on interdependent signals of interest.
- I/O systems map input signals (action) to output signals (reaction).
- The relation between signals in a system $G: u \mapsto y$ is denoted $y=G u$.
- Models are relations between involved signals described by an abstract (mathematical) language under simplifying assumptions.
- Block-diagrams represent information flow in I/O systems, like

and are convenient to visualize interconnected systems



## Gain

It is a system $u \mapsto y$ such that

$$
y=k u
$$

for a given gain

- either constant, $k \in \mathbb{R}$
- or varying,
- $k: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, in the continuous-time case
so $y(t)=k(t) u(t)$
- $k: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, in the discrete-time case
so $y[t]=k[t] u[t]$

Think of an amplifier, gearbox, currency converter, ... as examples of such systems.

## Saturation

It is a system $u \mapsto y$, which we denote $\operatorname{sat}_{[a, b]}$, such that

$$
y(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
a & \text { if } u(t)<a \\
u(t) & \text { if } a \leq u(t) \leq b \\
b & \text { if } u(t)>b
\end{array} \quad \text { or } \quad y[t]= \begin{cases}a & \text { if } u[t]<a \\
u[t] & \text { if } a \leq u[t] \leq b \\
b & \text { if } u[t]>b\end{cases}\right.
$$

for given $a<b$. We use the short notation sat ${ }_{a}:=\operatorname{sat}_{[-a, a]}$ for some $a>0$. Think of a gas pedal in cars, water tap, integer overflow in computers, etc.

Block-diagram notation:

acts as

or
$-1$

acts as
 5/3

## Sample-and-hold

It is a continuous-time system $G_{S \& H}: u \mapsto y$ such that

$$
y(t)=u\left(\left\lfloor\frac{t}{h}\right\rfloor h\right) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad y(i h+\tau)=u(i h), \quad \forall \tau \in(0, h)
$$

for a given sampling interval $h>0$. It is a basic block of any sampled-data control / signal processing system.

Block-diagram notation:


## Quantizer

It is a system $u \mapsto y$, which we denote qnt $_{q}$, such that

$$
y=q\left\lfloor\frac{u}{q}\right\rfloor \quad \text { or, maybe, } y=q\left\lfloor\frac{u}{q}+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor
$$

for a given threshold $q>0$. Think of an incremental encoder sensing, finite word-length effects in computers, etc.

Block-diagram notation:

or

- $\quad$ ㄹ
 $u$
acts as



## Integrator

In continuous time: the system $G_{\text {int }}: u \mapsto y$ such that

$$
y(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} u(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Think of a water tank with no outflow, odometer, electricity / water meters, etc

In discrete time: the system $G_{\text {int }}: u \mapsto y$ such that

$$
y[t]=\sum_{s=-\infty}^{t} u[s]
$$

Think of your (well-organized) mail account Inbox, Trash folder, etc.

## Finite-memory integrator

In continuous time: the system $G_{\mathrm{fmi}, \mu}: u \mapsto y$ such that

$$
y(t)=\int_{t-\mu}^{t} u(s) \mathrm{d} s \text { or } y(t)=\int_{t-\mu(t)}^{t} u(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

for a given $\mu>0$ or function $\mu: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Think of a pipeline (if its content is of interest as the output), vehicle line at a traffic light (abroad), etc.

In discrete time: the system $G_{f m i, \mu}: u \mapsto y$ such that

$$
y[t]=\sum_{s=t-\mu}^{t} u[s] \quad \text { or } \quad y[t]=\sum_{s=t-\mu[t]}^{t} u[s]
$$

for some $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ or function $\mu: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Think of a circular buffer, a FIFO queue, etc.

## Second-order system

In continuous time: the system $G_{20}: u \mapsto y$ such that

$$
\ddot{y}(t)+a_{1} \dot{y}(t)+a_{0} y(t)=b_{1} \dot{u}(t)+b_{0} u(t)
$$

for constant real parameters $a_{1}, a_{0}$, and $b \neq 0$. Think of mass-spring-damper
$\qquad$ or $\qquad$ and RLC-circuit $\qquad$ , which we already saw.

In discrete time: the system $G_{10}: u \mapsto y$ such that

$$
y[t+2]+a_{1} y[t+1]+a_{0} y[t]=b_{2} u[t+2]+b_{1} u[t+1]+b_{0} u[t]
$$

Some believe (Keynesian economics) that this is how the GNP evolves as a function of governmental expenditure ${ }^{1}$; the Fibonacci sequence is generated by this system ${ }^{2}, \ldots$

[^0]
## First-order system

In continuous time: the system $G_{10}: u \mapsto y$ such that

$$
\dot{y}(t)+a_{0} y(t)=b_{0} u(t)
$$

for constant real parameters $a_{0}$ and $b_{0} \neq 0$. Think of a thermometer , spring-damper $\square$ , which we already saw.

In discrete time: the system $G_{10}: u \mapsto y$ such that

$$
y[t+1]+a_{0} y[t]=b_{1} u[t+1]+b_{0} u[t]
$$

Think of a saving program, loan return, simple population grows model (if the birth and mortality rates are assumed to be in constant proportions to the total population and the input is migrations), etc.

## Delay element

In continuous time: the system $\bar{D}_{\tau}: u \mapsto y$ such that $y=\mathbb{S}_{-\tau} u$, i.e.

$$
y(t)=u(t-\tau) \quad \text { or } \quad y(t)=u(t-\tau(t))
$$

for a given $\tau>0$ or function $\tau: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Think of a conveyor belt, toaster, satellite transmission, optical fibers, etc.

In discrete time: the system $\bar{D}_{\tau}: u \mapsto y$ such that $y=\mathbb{S}_{-\tau} u$, i.e.

$$
y[t]=u[t-\tau] \quad \text { or } \quad y[t]=u[t-\tau[t]]
$$

for some $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$ or a function $\tau: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Think of a digital communication channel, exam grading, US presidential inauguration, etc.

## Outline

Principal properties

## Causality

A system $u \mapsto y$ is said to be causal if

- $y$ at every time instance $t_{c}$ can depend only on $u$ at $t \leq t_{c}$ and not at $t>t_{c}$.
Every static system is obviously causal. A formal way to define causality is to require

$$
\chi_{\tau}(G u)=\chi_{\tau}\left(G\left(\chi_{\tau} u\right)\right), \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where the signal $\chi_{\tau}:=\mathbb{S}_{-\tau}\left(\mathbb{P}_{-1} \mathbb{\mathbb { }}\right)=\square \square_{\tau}$, meaning that inputs at $t>\tau$ do not affect outputs at $t \leq \tau$.

## Examples

- causal systems: everything studied today
- non-causal systems: sample-and-hold with the first-order hold



## Static \& dynamic systems

A system $u \mapsto y$ is said to be static if

- $y$ at every time instance $t_{c}$ can depend only on $u$ at $t=t_{c}$ and not at $t<t_{c}$ or $t>t_{c}$.
Otherwise, i.e. if at some time instances the output may depend on past or future inputs, the system is dubbed dynamic. In other words, static systems are memoryless, while dynamic systems have memory.

Examples

- static systems: gain, saturation, quantizer
- dynamic systems: integrator, finite-memory integrator, 1- and 2-order systems, delay


## SISO \& MIMO systems

If both $u$ and $y$ are scalar-valued, we say that a system $G: u \mapsto y$ is SISO (single-input/single-output). If either of those signals is vector-values, then we say that $G$ is MIMO (multiple-input/multiple-output).

## Linearity

A system $G: u \mapsto y$ is said to be linear if

$$
G\left(a_{1} u_{1}+a_{2} u_{2}\right)=a_{1}\left(G u_{1}\right)+a_{2}\left(G u_{2}\right)
$$

for all admissible inputs $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ and all scalars $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$. In other words, linearity requires superposition property to hold. An alternative definition is in terms of additivity and homogeneity, i.e.

$$
G\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)=G u_{1}+G u_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad G(a u)=a(G u)
$$

Remark. By homogeneity, $u=0 \Longrightarrow G u=0$ whenever $G$ is linear. In other words, the zero input necessarily produces the zero output

Linearity is a very useful property, greatly simplifying the analysis. So it is

- often assumed, but seldom (if ever) holds in reality.

Still, linear models approximate the nonlinear reality well in many situations.

## Linearity: examples

Integrator:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{\text {int }}\left(a_{1} u_{1}+a_{2} u_{2}\right) & =\int_{-\infty}^{t}\left(a_{1} u_{1}(s)+a_{2} u_{2}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =a_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{t} u_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s+a_{2} \int_{-\infty}^{t} u_{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =a_{1}\left(G_{\text {int }} u_{1}\right)+a_{2}\left(G_{\text {int }} u_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. it is linear (as well as finite-memory integrator, 1- and 2-order systems).

## Delay:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{D}_{\tau}\left(a_{1} u_{1}+a_{2} u_{2}\right) & =\left(a_{1} u_{1}+a_{2} u_{2}\right)(t-\tau)=\left(a_{1} u_{1}\right)(t-\tau)+\left(a_{2} u_{2}\right)(t-\tau) \\
& =a_{1} u_{1}(t-\tau)+a_{2} u_{2}(t-\tau) \\
& =a_{1}\left(\bar{D}_{\tau} u_{1}\right)+a_{2}\left(\bar{D}_{\tau} u_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. it is linear.

## Time (shift) invariance

A system $G: u \mapsto y$ is said to be time invariant (or shift invariant) if

$$
G\left(\$_{\tau} u\right)=\Phi_{\tau}(G u)
$$

for all admissible inputs $u$ and all $\tau \in \mathbb{R} / \tau \in \mathbb{Z}$. In other words, every time shift of the input results in the same time shift of the output. Otherwise, a system is called time varying. If the property above holds only if $\tau=T k$ for some $T>0$ and every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $G$ is said to be $T$-periodic.

If $G$ is both linear and time invariant, it is called LTI (linear time invariant).

## Linearity: examples (contd)

Quantizer: cf.

i.e. it is nonlinear because homogeneity does not hold (so is the saturation).

Sample-and-hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{\text {skH }}\left(a_{1} u_{1}+a_{2} u_{2}\right) & =\left(a_{1} u_{1}+a_{2} u_{2}\right)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{t}{h}\right\rfloor h\right)=a_{1} u_{1}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{t}{h}\right\rfloor h\right)+a_{2} u_{2}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{t}{h}\right\rfloor h\right) \\
& =a_{1}\left(G_{\text {s\&H }} u_{1}\right)+a_{2}\left(G_{\text {seH }} u_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. it is linear, cf.


## Time invariance: examples

Gain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(k\left(\mathbb{S}_{\tau} u\right)\right)(t)=k(t)\left(\left.u(t)\right|_{t \rightarrow t+\tau}\right) \\
&\left(\mathbb{S}_{\tau}(k u)\right)(t)=k(t) u(t+\tau) \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

so it is time invariant iff $k(t+\tau)=k(t)$ for all $\tau$ and $t$, i.e. iff $k=$ const.
Finite-memory integrator: if the memory length $\mu$ is constant, then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left(G_{\mathrm{fmi}, \mu}\left(\$_{\tau} u\right)\right)(t) & =\int_{t-\mu(t)}^{t}\left(\left.u(s)\right|_{s \rightarrow s+\tau}\right) \mathrm{d} s
\end{array}=\int_{t+\tau-\mu(t)}^{t+\tau} u(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)
$$

so it is time invariant iff $\mu(t+\tau)=\mu(t)$ for all $\tau$ and $t$, i.e. iff $\mu=$ const. 1st and 2 nd order systems: are time invariant (try to show that).

## Time invariance: examples (contd)

Sample-and-hold: cf.

i.e. it is time varying. However, a shift by $k h$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(G_{s \& H}\left(\mathbb{S}_{k h} u\right)\right)(t) & =u\left(\left\lfloor\frac{t+k h}{h}\right\rfloor h\right)=u\left(\left\lfloor\frac{t}{h}+k\right\rfloor h\right)=u\left(\left\lfloor\frac{t}{h}\right\rfloor h+k h\right) \\
& =\left(\mathbb{S}_{k h}\left(G_{s \& H} u\right)\right)(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. it is $h$-periodic, cf.


## Stability: examples

Showing that a system is stable, w/o understanding general systems theory, might not be easy. But in some cases it is.
Delay. If $\tau=$ const, then

$$
\|y\|_{\infty}=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}|y(t)|=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}|u(t-\tau)|=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}|u(t)|=\|u\|_{\infty}
$$

and

$$
\|y\|_{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}|y(t)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t=\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}}|u(t-\tau)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right|_{s=t-\tau}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}|u(s)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s=\|u\|_{2}
$$

Thus, $\bar{D}_{\tau}$ with a constant $\tau$ is both $L_{2^{-}}$and $L_{\infty^{-}}$-stable, with induced norms

$$
\left\|\bar{D}_{\tau}\right\|_{\text {ind }, \infty}=\left\|\bar{D}_{\tau}\right\|_{\text {ind }, 2}=1
$$

Remark. Curiously, $\bar{D}_{\tau}$ might be $L_{2}$-unstable for varying $\tau$. Try to find an example of $\tau(t)$ for which the delay is unstable and the corresponding destabilizing input.

## I/O stability

A continuous-time system $G: u \mapsto y$ is said to be

- $L_{q}$-stable if there are $\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|y\|_{q} \leq \gamma\|u\|_{q}+\beta, \forall u \in L_{q}$ for $q \in\{1,2, \infty\}$. $L_{q}$-stability implies that $y \in L_{q}$ for all $u \in L_{q}$. $L_{\infty}$-stable systems are also known as BIBO (bounded input / bounded output) stable.

If $G$ is linear, then we may always take $\beta=0$ in the stability definition. I.e. a linear system is $L_{q}$-stable if $\|y\|_{q} \leq \gamma\|u\|_{q}$ for some $\gamma$ (independent of $u$ ). If $G$ is stable, then the smallest $\gamma$ for which the inequality above holds true is called the $L_{q}$-induced norm of $G$,

$$
\|G\|_{\text {ind }, q}:=\sup _{u \neq 0} \frac{\|G u\|_{q}}{\|u\|_{q}}=\sup _{\|u\|_{q}=1}\|G u\|_{q}
$$

In the discrete-time case, the $\ell_{q}$-stability and $\ell_{q}$-induced norms are defined similarly.

## Stability: examples (contd)

Showing that a system is unstable may be easier. One "just" needs to dream up a destabilizing input.

Integrator. If $u=\mathbb{1} \in L_{\infty}$, then

$$
y(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathbb{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s=\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s=t \mathbb{1}(t)=\operatorname{ramp}(t)
$$

Because ramp $\notin L_{\infty}$, the integrator is not BIBO stable. If $u=\exp _{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \mathbb{1} \in L_{2}$ for some $\lambda<0$, then

$$
y(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s} \mathbb{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s=\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s} \mathrm{~d} s=\left.\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda s}}{\lambda}\right|_{0} ^{t}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t}-1}{\lambda} \mathbb{1}(t)
$$

converges to $-\frac{1}{\lambda} \neq 0$ and thus does not belong to $L_{2}$. Thus, the integrator is not $L_{2}$-stable either.

## Outline

LTI systems in the time domain

## Response of continuous-time LTI systems

Let $G: u \mapsto y$ be LTI. We know (Lect. 2, Slide 15) that $u=\delta * u$, i.e.

$$
u(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta(t-s) u(s) \mathrm{d} s \quad \Longrightarrow \quad u=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{S}_{-s} \delta\right) u(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Passing over convergence-related issues (really delicate), we then have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(t) & =(G u)(t)=\left(G\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{S}_{-s} \delta\right) u(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)\right)(t) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(G\left(\mathbb{S}_{-s} \delta u(s)\right)\right)(t) \mathrm{d} s \text { by linearity } \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(G\left(\mathbb{S}_{-s} \delta\right)\right)(t) u(s) \mathrm{d} s \quad \text { by linearity, } u(s) \text { is constant on } t \text { axis } \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{S}_{-s}(G \delta)\right)(t) u(s) \mathrm{d} s \quad \text { by time invariance } \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}}(G \delta)(t-s) u(s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. the relation $y=G u$ is equivalent to $y=(G \delta) * u$ in the LTI case.

## The impulse response

The signal

$$
g:=G \delta
$$

which is the response of $G$ to the Dirac delta / unit pulse applied at $t=0$, is known as the impulse response of $G$. The relation

$$
y=G u \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad y=g * u
$$

implies that the processing of every input signal by an LTI system amounts to convolving that input with the impulse response of the system. Thus,

- properties of LTI systems are fully shaped by their impulse responses.


## The impulse response: examples

Gain: if $G$ acts as $y(t)=k u(t)$, then $g=k \delta$ (i.e. $g(t)=k \delta(t))$.
Delay: if $G$ acts as $y(t)=u(t-\tau)$, then $g=\mathbb{S}_{-\tau} \delta$ (i.e. $g(t)=\delta(t-\tau)$ ).
Integrator: $G_{\text {int }}$ acts as (cf. Lect. 2, Slide 16)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
y(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} u(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
y[t]=\sum_{s=-\infty}^{t} u[s]
\end{array}\right\} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad g_{\text {int }}=\mathbb{1} \quad \text { i.e. }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g_{\text {int }}(t)=\mathbb{1}(t) \\
g_{\text {int }}[t]=\mathbb{1}[t]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Finite-memory integrator: $G_{\mathrm{fmi}, \mu}$ acts as (cf. Lect. 2, Slide 12)

$$
y(t)=\int_{t-\mu}^{t} u(s) \mathrm{d} s \quad \Longrightarrow \quad g_{\mathrm{fmi}, \mu}=\$_{-\mu / 2} \operatorname{rect}_{\mu}=\sqrt[1]{0}_{\mu} \underbrace{}_{\mu}
$$

## What if $G$ is not LTI

If $G$ is linear, but not time invariant, then the impulse response $g$ is a signal $\mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $g(t, s) / g[t, s]$ being the value of the response at the time instance $t$ to an impulse / pulse applied at the time instance s. In this case

$$
y(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t, s) u(s) \mathrm{d} s \quad \text { or } \quad y[t]=\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} g[t, s] u[s]
$$

known as the kernel representation (not convolutions anymore). In terms of this impulse response the time invariance reads $g(t, s)=g(t+\tau, s+\tau)$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, so that $g(t, s)=g(t-s, 0)$ (the discrete case is alike).

If $G$ is nonlinear, then no universal representation is known.
Linear systems are all alike; every nonlinear system is nonlinear in its own way.
could have been coined by L. Tolstoy

## The impulse response: examples (contd)

First-order system: if $G$ acts as $\dot{y}(t)+a_{0} y(t)=b_{0} u(t)$ and $u=\delta$, then we may apply the Laplace transform and get

$$
s Y(s)+a_{0} Y(s)=b_{0} \Longrightarrow Y(s)=\frac{b_{0}}{s+a_{0}} \Longrightarrow y(t)=b_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-a_{0} t} \mathbb{1}(t)
$$

i.e. $g_{10}=b_{0} \exp _{-a_{0}} \mathbb{1}$.

If $G$ acts as $y[t+1]+a_{0} y[t]=b_{1} u[t+1]+b_{0} u[t]$ and $u=\delta$, then we may apply the $z$ transform and get $z Y(z)+a_{0} Y(z)=b_{1} z+b_{0}$, from which

$$
Y(z)=\frac{b_{1} z+b_{0}}{z+a_{0}}=b_{1}+\left(b_{0}-b_{1} a_{0}\right) z^{-1} \frac{z}{z+a_{0}}
$$

and then, by the linearity and time shift properties

$$
y[t]=b_{1}+\left(b_{0}-b_{1} a_{0}\right)\left(-a_{0}\right)^{t-1} \mathbb{\mathbb { 1 }}[t-1]
$$

i.e. $g_{1 o}=b_{1} \delta+\left(b_{0}-b_{1} a_{0}\right) \mathbb{S}_{-1}\left(\exp _{-a_{0}} \mathbb{1}\right)$.

## System interconnections via impulse responses

Parallel:


Cascade: If $G=\frac{y}{G_{2}} G_{1} \cdot u$, then $g=g_{2} * g_{1}$
follows by $x *(y * z)=(x * y) * z$

Feedback: If $G=$
 then $\left(\delta \mp g_{1} * g_{2}\right) * y=g_{1} * u$

Resolving that equation in $y$, aka the deconvolution operation, is not trivial in terms of signals and results are not quite intuitive. For instance, is it obvious that $(\delta+\mathbb{1})^{-1}=\delta-\exp _{-1} \mathbb{1}$ ?

## Causality via impulse responses

Theorem
An LTI system $G$ with the impulse response $g$ is causal iff $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}$in the continuous-time case or $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{+}$in the discrete-time case.

Disclaimer. The continuous-time case may be delicate if $g$ includes Dirac deltas. Hereafter we consider that $\operatorname{supp}(\delta) \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}$, although this statement is mathematically dubious.
Proof (discrete): The response $y=g * u$ reads at every $t$ as

$$
y[t]=\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} g[t-s] u[s]=\overbrace{\sum_{s=-\infty}^{t} g[t-s] u[s]}+\overbrace{\sum_{s=t+1}^{\infty} g[t-s] u[s]}
$$

Thus, $y[t]$ cannot depend on $u[s]$ for $s>t$ iff $g[t-s]=0$ for all $s>t$, i.e. $g[t]=0$ for all $t<0$.

If an LTI $G: u \mapsto y$ is causal, then

$$
y(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} g(t-s) u(s) \mathrm{d} s \quad \text { and } \quad y[t]=\sum_{s=-\infty}^{t} g[t-s] u[s]
$$

## Stability via impulse responses: proof (discrete)

Sufficiency: If $g \in \ell_{1}$, then for every $u \in \ell_{\infty}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|y[t]| & =\left|\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} g[t-s] u[s]\right| \leq \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}}|g[t-s] u[s]| \leq \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}}|g[t-s]||u[s]| \\
& \leq\|u\|_{\infty} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}}|g[t-s]|_{r=t-s}=\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}|g[r]|\|u\|_{\infty}=\|g\|_{1}\|u\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\|y\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma\|u\|_{\infty}$ for $\gamma=\|g\|_{1}<\infty$ and the system is BIBO stable.
Necessity: Choose $u=\operatorname{sign}\left(\mathbb{P}_{-1} g\right)$, i.e. $u[t]=\operatorname{sign}(g[-t])$. Its $\|u\|_{\infty}=1$ so $u \in \ell_{\infty}$. With this choice,

$$
y[0]=\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} g[-s] u[s]=\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} g[-s] \operatorname{sign}(g[-s])=\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}}|g[-s]|=\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}}|g[s]|
$$

and $g \in \ell_{1}$ is necessary for the boundedness of $y[0]$ and, therefore, that of $\|y\|_{\infty} \geq|y[0]|$. This also proves the $\|G\|_{\text {ind, } \infty}$ part.

## Stability via impulse responses

Theorem
An LTI system $G$ with the impulse response $g$ is BIBO stable iff $g \in L_{1}$ in the continuous-time case or $g \in \ell_{1}$ in the discrete-time case. If $G$ is BIBO stable, then $\|G\|_{\text {ind }, \infty}=\|g\|_{1}$.

Disclaimer. The continuous-time case may be delicate if $g$ includes Dirac deltas here as well. Strictly speaking, $\delta$ is not a function. A more rigorous approach would be to limit the class of considered systems to those, whose impulse response could be decomposed in the form $g=\tilde{g}+\sum_{i} \gamma[i] \mathbb{S}_{t_{i}} \delta$ for some function $\tilde{g}$ and an increasing sequence of time instances $\left\{t_{i}\right\}$. Such systems are BIBO stable iff $\tilde{g} \in L_{1}$ and $\gamma \in \ell_{1}$. Nonetheless, we proceed with regarding the Dirac delta as absolutely integrable, merely to simplify the exposition.

Remark 1. The mere decaying of $g$ might not be enough for the BIBO stability of $G$. For example, if $g(t)=1 /(1+t) \mathbb{1}(t)$ and $u=\mathbb{1} \in L_{\infty}$, then for all $t \geq 0$

$$
y(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{1}{1+t-s} \mathbb{1}(t) \mathrm{d} s=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{1+t-s} \mathrm{~d} s=-\left.\ln (1+t-s)\right|_{0} ^{t}=\ln (1+t)
$$

and it is not bounded. Hence, this system is not BIBO stable (and indeed, this $g \notin L_{1}$ ). Remark 2. The $L_{2}$-stability is not easy to verify directly in terms of the impulse response.

## Stability via impulse responses: examples

Integrator: $g_{\text {int }}=\mathbb{1} \notin L_{1}$, with agrees with what we already saw.
Finite-memory integrator: $g_{\mathrm{fmi}, \mu}=\mathbb{S}_{-\mu / 2}$ rect $_{\mu} \in L_{1}$, i.e. it is BIBO stable, with $\left\|G_{\mathrm{fmi}, \mu}\right\|_{\text {ind }, \infty}=\left\|g_{\mathrm{fmi}, \mu}\right\|_{1}=\mu$

First-order system (in continuous time): $\dot{y}(t)+a_{0} y(t)=b_{0} u(t)$

$$
g_{1 \circ}=b_{0} \exp _{-a_{0}} 1 \in L_{1} \Longleftrightarrow a_{0}>0
$$

i.e. it's BIBO stable iff $a_{0}>0$, with $\left\|G_{10}\right\|_{\text {ind, } \infty}=\frac{1}{a_{0}}$ (cf. Lect. 2 , Slide 19 ). First-order system (in discrete time): $y[t+1]+a_{0} y[t]=b_{1} u[t+1]+b_{0} u[t]$

$$
g_{1 o}=b_{1} \delta+\left(b_{0}-b_{1} a_{0}\right) \mathbb{S}_{-1}\left(\exp _{-a_{0}} \mathbb{1}\right) \in \ell_{1} \Longleftrightarrow\left|a_{0}\right|<1
$$

i.e. it's BIBO stable iff $\left|a_{0}\right|<1$, with (cf. Lect. 2, Slide 30)

$$
\left\|G_{1 \mathrm{o}}\right\|_{\text {ind }, \infty}=\left|b_{1}\right|+\frac{\left|b_{0}-b_{1} a_{0}\right|}{1-\left|a_{0}\right|}
$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ With $a_{1}=-\alpha(1+\beta), a_{0}=\alpha \beta, b_{2}=1$, and $b_{1}=b_{0}=0$, where $\alpha$ is the part of the GNP going to consumption and $\beta$ is the part of the GNP grows invested.
    ${ }^{2}$ With $a_{1}=a_{0}=-1, b_{2}=b_{0}=0, b_{1}=1$, and $u=\delta$.

