

הטכניון – מכון טכנולוגי לישראל, הפקולטה להנדסת מכונות

TECHNION — Israel Institute of Technology, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

תורת הבקרה (035188)

גליון תרגילי בית מס' 2

שאלה מס' 1

.y איציאה ע"י מהכניסה $P(s)=rac{s+lpha}{s^2}$ התמסורת פונקצית מערכת נתונה ע"י פונקצית התמסורת

1. כתבו למערכת מימוש כרצונכם במרחב המצב, מהצורה

$$\label{eq:def_equation} \left\{ \begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad x(0) = 0, \\ y(t) &= Cx(t). \end{aligned} \right.$$

- ם שאינם את חשבו את קונטרולביליות אינה קונטרולביליות ערכי a עבורם קיימים ערכי באם קיימים שאינם (a, באם קיימים ערכי באם קיימים ערכי a, באם קיימים ערכי באם קיימים ערכי a
- . באם שאינם אובזרווביליית, חשבו את ערכי a עבורם ערכי a עבורם אינה אובזרווביליית של (C,A). באם קיימים ערכי
- מהם תמסורת מ"ד אל u(t)=r(t)-Fx(t) תהיה מסדר ראשון. מהם 4. תכננו משוב מצב מהצורה u(t)=r(t)-Fx(t) לייצוב המערכת, כך שפונקצית התמסורת מ"ד אל u(t)=r(t) ערכי u(t)
 - .5 מהו הסדר המינימלי של פונקצית התמסורת מ־r אל y שניתן להשיג ע"י משערך + משוב מצב .
 - עם פונקצית המחיר LQR עבורם ערכי α

$$\mathcal{J}_c = \int_0^\infty \bigl(\lambda y(t)^2 + (1-\lambda)\dot{y}(t)^2 + u(t)^2\bigr)\mathrm{d}t,$$

. כאשר $\lambda \leqslant 1$ מיתנת לפתרון? מיתנת הפתרון

שאלה מס' 2

נתונה המערכת LQR ופונקצית המחיר (1). מצאו את ערך $1 \leqslant 0 < \lambda \leqslant 1$ עבורו אופטימלי אופטימלי אופטימלי אופטימלי ופונקצית המחיר (2). מצאו את ערך ללא פתרון של משוואת ריקאטי).

1. Companion form:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t) \\ y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix} x(t). \end{cases}$$

Note that one may also choose any other realization, like the observer form. In this case items 2, 3, and 6 will be different.

- 2. Companion form is always controllable. This can be seen, for example, from the controllability matrix $M_c = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.
- 3. As the second-order realization above is minimal iff it is both controllable and observable and as it is always controllable, this realization can be unobservable iff there are pole/zero cancellations in P(s). This is obviously the case only when a=0, in which case one pole at the origin is unobservable. An alternative way to see this is through the observability matrix $M_o = \begin{bmatrix} a & 1 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$, which is singular only for a=0. As we have modes only at the origin, the unobservable mode is obviously the one at s=0.
- 4. We know (Lecture 8, p. 4) that zeros of the closed-loop transfer function from r to y under a state-feedback control law are those of the plant. Thus, in order to end up with a first-order transfer function we have to guarantee that one closed-loop pole cancels the plant zero at s=-a. This cancellation is possible iff a>0 (closed-loop poles must be stable as feedback is stabilizing). Thus, we have to assign the following closed-loop characteristic polynomial:

$$\chi_{cl}(s) = (s + \lambda)(s + \alpha) = s^2 + (\alpha + \lambda)s + \alpha\lambda$$

for any $\lambda > 0$. The rest is trivial (remember, the realization is in the companion form):

$$F = [\alpha\lambda \alpha + \lambda].$$

- 5. We know (Lecture 8, p. 16) that the closed-loop transfer function from r to y in the observer-based configuration does not depend on the observer and is the same as in the state-feedback case. Thus, it should in general be a second-order transfer function with one zero at s=-a. Following the reasoning in the previous item, if a>0, the order can be reduced by putting one of the closed-loop poles to -a. In this case the minimal order is 1. On the other hand, if $a\leqslant 0$, this zero cannot be canceled and the minimal order is 2.
- 6. Note that $y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} a & 1 \end{bmatrix} x(t)$ and $\dot{y}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \end{bmatrix} x(t) + u(t)$. Hence,

$$\lambda y^{2} + (1 - \lambda)\dot{y}^{2} + u^{2} = x'\underbrace{\left(\lambda\begin{bmatrix}a\\1\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha&1\end{bmatrix} + (1 - \lambda)\begin{bmatrix}0\\a\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}0&\alpha\end{bmatrix}\right)}_{C'_{z}C_{z}}x + 2x'\underbrace{(1 - \lambda)\begin{bmatrix}0\\a\end{bmatrix}}_{S}u + \underbrace{(2 - \lambda)}_{\rho}u^{2}$$

We know (Lecture 9, p. 16) that cost function results in the LQR problem with the plant

$$\dot{x}(t) = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \right) x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{u}(t) = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda} \alpha \end{bmatrix}}_{\tilde{a}} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{u}(t), \tag{2}$$

where $u(t)=\tilde{u}(t)-\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda}\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & a\end{array}\right]x(t)$, and the cost function with $\rho=2-\lambda$ and any \tilde{C}_z such that

$$\tilde{C}_{z}'\tilde{C}_{z} = \lambda \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ 1 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha & 1 \end{array}\right]}_{C'C} + (1-\lambda) \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \alpha \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 & \alpha \end{array}\right]}_{A'C'CA} - \underbrace{\frac{(1-\lambda)^{2}}{2-\lambda} \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \alpha \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 & \alpha \end{array}\right]}_{\frac{1}{2}SS'} = \left[\begin{array}{c} \lambda \alpha^{2} & \lambda \alpha \\ \lambda \alpha & \lambda + \frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda} \alpha^{2} \end{array}\right].$$

One possible choice is

$$\tilde{C}_z = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{\lambda} \alpha & \sqrt{\lambda} \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda}} \alpha \end{array} \right].$$

Now, the LQR problem for this data is solvable iff realization (2) is stabilizable (always true as it is in the companion form, hence always controllable) and (\tilde{C}_z, \tilde{A}) has no unobservable modes on the jw-axis. To check this condition, note that \tilde{A} has two modes at s=0 and $s=-\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda}a$. To see, whether they are observable, let's use the PBH test (Lecture 7, p. 11). If the first mode is not observable, then the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda} a \\ \sqrt{\lambda}a & \sqrt{\lambda} \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda}} a \end{bmatrix}$$

has reduced column rank. This is obviously true iff a=0 and in this case the problem is not solvable. If the mode at $-\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda}$ a is unobservable, the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda} a & 1\\ 0 & 0\\ \sqrt{\lambda} a & \sqrt{\lambda}\\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda}} a \end{bmatrix}$$

has reduced column rank. This is again true iff $\alpha=0$ (the columns are linearly dependent). To conclude, the problem is solvable iff $\alpha\neq 0$.

Assume now that $a \neq 0$. Then the optimal control law is

$$\mathfrak{u}(t) = -\frac{1}{2-\lambda} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{p}_1 & \mathfrak{p}_2 \\ \mathfrak{p}_2 & \mathfrak{p}_3 \end{array} \right] x(t) - \frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \alpha \end{array} \right] x(t) = -\frac{1}{2-\lambda} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{p}_2 & \mathfrak{p}_3 + (1-\lambda)\alpha \end{array} \right] x(t),$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 \\ p_2 & p_3 \end{bmatrix}$ is the stabillizing solution of the Riccati equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda} \ \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 \\ p_2 & p_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 \\ p_2 & p_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda} \ \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \alpha^2 & \lambda \alpha \\ \lambda \alpha & \lambda + \frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda} \ \alpha^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2-\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 \\ p_2 & p_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 \\ p_2 & p_3 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Since we are looking for the stabilizing solution, the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda} \alpha \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2-\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{p}_1 & \mathfrak{p}_2 \\ \mathfrak{p}_2 & \mathfrak{p}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2-\lambda} \mathfrak{p}_2 & -\frac{1}{2-\lambda} ((1-\lambda)\alpha + \mathfrak{p}_3) \end{bmatrix}$$

must be stable. In other words, the conditions $p_2 > 0$ and $p_3 > (\lambda - 1)a$ must hold.

The ARE can be rewritten as

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} \lambda\alpha^2-\frac{1}{2-\lambda}\,p_2^2 & p_1-\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda}\,\alpha p_2+\lambda\alpha-\frac{1}{2-\lambda}\,p_2p_3 \\ p_1-\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda}\,\alpha p_2+\lambda\alpha-\frac{1}{2-\lambda}\,p_2p_3 & 2(p_2-\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda}\,\alpha p_3)+\lambda+\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda}\,\alpha^2-\frac{1}{2-\lambda}\,p_3^2 \end{array}\right]=0.$$

The (1,1) element yields

$$p_2 = \sqrt{\lambda(2-\lambda)} \, |a|$$

(remember that $p_2 > 0$). The (2,2) element yields

$$p_3^2+2\alpha(1-\lambda)p_3-\left((2-\lambda)(2p_2+\lambda)+(1-\lambda)\alpha^2\right)=0$$

Therefore,

$$p_3 = -\alpha(1-\lambda) \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2(1-\lambda)^2 + (2-\lambda)(2p_2+\lambda) + (1-\lambda)\alpha^2}.$$

Since $p_3 > -(1 - \lambda)\alpha$ and $(2 - \lambda)(2p_2 + \lambda) + (1 - \lambda)\alpha^2 > 0$, we have that

$$p_3 = -\alpha(1-\lambda) + \sqrt{(2-\lambda)(\alpha^2(1-\lambda) + 2\sqrt{\lambda(2-\lambda)}|\alpha| + \lambda)}$$

The last parameter, p_1 , can be easily obtained from the (1,2) element. Yet since the optimal control doesn't depend on p_1 , this step is not required. Thus, the control law is

$$u(t) = -\frac{1}{2-\lambda} \left[\sqrt{\lambda(2-\lambda)} |a| \sqrt{(2-\lambda) \left(\alpha^2(1-\lambda) + 2\sqrt{\lambda(2-\lambda)} |a| + \lambda\right)} \right] x(t).$$

Hmm, it looks that I slightly exaggerated with this item...

In this case, the problem is the LQR for the plant

$$\dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

and the regulated signal

$$z(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1-\lambda} \end{bmatrix} x(t)$$

(since $x_1=y$ and $x_2=\dot{y}$). Hence, we have that $C_z=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\sqrt{\lambda}&0\\0&\sqrt{1-\lambda}\end{array}\right]$ and $\rho=1.$

It follows from the return-difference equality (Lecture 9, p. 11) that

$$\chi_{ol}(-s)\chi_{ol}(s) + \varphi(s) = \chi_{cl}(-s)\chi_{cl}(s),$$

where $\phi(s)$ is the numerator polynomial of

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\rho} P_z(-s)' P_z(s) &= B'(-sI-A')^{-1} C_z' C_z (sI-A)^{-1} B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -s & 0 \\ -1 & -s \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s & -1 \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s^2} & -\frac{1}{s} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s^2} \\ \frac{1}{s} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\lambda}{s^4} - \frac{1-\lambda}{s^2} = \frac{\lambda - (1-\lambda)s^2}{s^4}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we have that

$$\chi_{cl}(-s)\chi_{cl}(s) = s^4 - (1-\lambda)s^2 + \lambda.$$

Therefore, to get a double closed-loop pole at $-\alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$ we have to meet the following requirement:

$$s^4 - (1 - \lambda)s^2 + \lambda = (-s + \alpha)^2(s + \alpha)^2 = (\alpha^2 - s^2)^2 = s^4 - 2\alpha^2s^2 + \alpha^4$$

This leads to $\lambda = \alpha^4$ and then

$$1-\alpha^4=2\alpha^2\iff \alpha^4+2\alpha^2-1=0\iff \alpha^2=-1+\sqrt{2}.$$

Thus, the required

$$\lambda = (\sqrt{2} - 1)^2 = 3 - 2\sqrt{2} \approx 0.17157.$$